

Proposal Title :	-	Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills The proposal seeks to amend Schedule 1 "Additional Permitted Uses" of The Hills LEP 2012 to permit 'landscaping material supplies' and/or 'garden centre' on RMB104, 104A and RMB105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills				
Proposal Summa	permit 'landscapi					
PP Number :	PP_2014_THILL_	002_00	Dop File No :	14/02795		
oposal Details				,		
Date Planning Proposal Receive	03-Feb-2014 ed :		LGA covered :	The Hills Sh	ire	
Region :	Sydney Region We	st	RPA :	The Hills Sh	ire Council	
State Electorate	HAWKESBURY		Section of the Act :	55 - Plannin	g Proposal	
LEP Type :	Housekeeping					
ocation Details	5					
Street :	RMB104 Windsor Rd					
Suburb :	Beaumont Hills	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2155	
Land Parcel :	Lot 7, DP 13822					
Street :	104A Windsor Rd					
Suburb :	Beaumont Hills	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2155	
Land Parcel :	Lot 80, DP 1014622					
Street :	RMB105 Windsor Rd					
Suburb :	Beaumont Hills	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2155	
Land Parcel :	Lot 104, DP 1124350					
Street :	Part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave					
Suburb :	Beaumont Hill	City :	NSW	Postcode :	2155	
Land Parcel :	Lot 101, DP 1124350					

.

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Georgina Ballantine
Contact Number :	0298601568
Contact Email :	georgina.ballantine@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Raquel Ricaford-Bleza
Contact Number :	0298430560
Contact Email :	rricaford-bleza@thehills.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Derryn John
Contact Number :	0298601505
Contact Email :	derryn.john@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	4	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	At this point in time and to the be Code of Practice in relation to cor	-	
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	SITE DESCRIPTION The subject site consists of four p and RMB105 Windsor Rd and part approximately 7501sqm.		
	The land currently includes variou R3 Medium Density Residential zo equipment storage and repairs.		-

LOCATION AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The site is bound by Windsor Rd to the south, commercial development (including a large pet store, vet and cafe) and Millcroft Way to the west, 5-7 Wilkins Ave (including 2 dwellings) and vacant land to the north and RMB103 Windsor Rd to the east, containing a single residential dwelling and extensive vegetation. The subject land is located 400m to the east of Old Windsor Rd/the North-West T-Way and approximately 1.4km north of the future Kellyville Railway Station, which is due for completion in late 2019. The Windsor Rd off-road Cycle Way runs from Old Windsor Rd to the site and beside the North-West T-Way.

BACKGROUND

The land is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential and the proposal does not seek to amend the zoning. The addition of 'landscaping material supplies' and/or 'garden centre' to Schedule 1 "Additional Permitted Uses" will allow the site to maintain the current residential zoning while providing a practical solution to the need for an alternative trading site for Baulkham Hills Landscape Supplies/Amber. The supplies business has been operating for 35 years at its current site on Old Windsor Rd, approximately 500m from the proposed new location. The land was recently acquired by Transport NSW for the extension of the North West Rail Link, necessitating its relocation by September 2014. 37 employees will retain their jobs if the business continues to operate.

The proponents made submissions during the consultation period for The Hills LEP 2012, requesting the land be rezoned to B6 Enterprise Corridor, however Council considered that the R3 zoning should be retained as it is consistent with the density provisions in the Kellyville Rouse Hill Development Control Plan and North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy (Kellyville Area). The use of Schedule 1 will retain the potential for residential development in the long term in proximity to the future rail station. In addition, the B6 zone would permit a variety of other business uses, some of which have the potential to impact on the amenity of existing residential development in the locality.

Council considers that the use of the site for residential purposes will be constrained in the short term by the existence of commercial type uses on the site. The owner of the adjacent RMB103 Windsor Rd previously submitted a residential proposal for 30 residential lots. Council rejected the proposal due in part to vegetation (endangered ecological community) concerns and the site remains predominantly undeveloped.

ACCESS

Council consulted Transport NSW – Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) prior to submitting the planning proposal. RMS advised that they do not support Council's intent to provide sole access via Windsor Rd, due to traffic constraints. RMS recommends access via Wilkins Ave and/or Millcroft Way and will consider a left-turn only exit to Windsor Rd. Council is not in agreement due to the potential impact of heavy vehicles and customer traffic on the residential side streets. It is recommended that Council conduct a Traffic Study and continue consultation with RMS to resolve the access issue.

ENVIRONMENT

The site has no environmental constraints in relation to flora and fauna. Council are recommending controls in relation to noise (via acoustic fencing), dust, odour, setbacks, landscaping, access, stormwater and run-off. A number of controls have been inserted into The Hills Development Control Plan 2012 – Part B Section 6 – Business and any additional requirements will be addressed at the development application stage.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :			
Justification - s55 (2	l)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the D	virector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Director	General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement required?	Yes	
c) Consistent with Stand	lard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the	ne RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	Council has also ide applicable to the pro	entified 117 Direction 5.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy as oposal.	
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :		ess and Industrial Zones by Council, Direction 1.1 does not apply.	
	Direction 3.1 Residential Zones Direction 3.1 applies as the proposal affects land within an existing residential zone. The proposal does not broaden the choice of building types and locations available in the housing market. Council's decision to retain the existing zoning allows for residential development on the land in the long term. However, the proposal will require extensive building and landscaping of the site. It is therefore considered that owners and Council are unlikely to seek residential development on the site for many years. The site is already used for commercial purposes and adjoins the large Kellyville pets site. In addition, residential development to the east is constrained due to the presence of ecologically endangered species. It is considered that the proposal to retain the site for commercial purposes is of minor significance due to the site attributes and potential loss of 37 jobs if the business cannot find an alternative location.		
	 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport Direction 3.4 applies as the proposal will create a provision relating to residential land. The proposal is considered to be consistent as it will improve access to jobs through walking, cycling and public transport. The site is located within 7 minutes walk of the North West T-Way and Windsor Rd and Old Windsor Road off-road Cycleways, and approximately 1.4km north of the future Kellyville station. 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 		
	100m and 30m (see I NSW Rural Fire Serv development option 5.9 North West Rail I	Subject site is designated as Bushfire Prone Land Vegetation Buffer - Fig. 4 of Planning Proposal). Council intends to consult with the Fice and refer to the relevant bushfire legislation when considering s. The proposal is considered to be consistent with Direction 4.4. Link Corridor Strategy Link (NWRL) Corridor Strategy has been prepared to guide	

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills

development over the next 20-25 years. The Strategy contains a Structure Plan for the Kellyville (Station) Study Area that designates the subject land and surrounds as 'Low Density Residential'. Permitting commercial uses on the site is therefore inconsistent with the Direction 5.9 (4) that a planning proposal must 'be consistent with the proposals of the NWRL Corridor Strategy, including the growth projections and proposed future character for each of the NWRL precincts.' Council has not prepared a strategy in support of the proposal. However, Council comments that the subject land is characterised as a short term opportunity area within the Corridor Strategy. The proposal facilitates the Strategy by maintaining the residential zoning and opportunities for medium to long-term residential development.

The proponents have purchased the site for relocation of their current business by September 2014. If the relocation is not permitted to go ahead, 37 jobs will potentially be lost. Council refused the proponents' original proposal to rezone the site to B6 Enterprise Corridor in order to preserve the current zoning of the land for future residential development.

The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to the short term nature of the development and the potential loss of employment versus the temporary reduction in development potential on residential land, however it is recommended that the Gateway Determination conditions that Council provide further justification in relation to the proposal's inconsistency with Direction 5.9.

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

The proposal minimises the inclusion of provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to a Minister or public authority and is therefore considered consistent with Direction 6.1.

6.3 Site Specific Provisions

The proposal is inconsistent with Direction 6.3 as it seeks to impose site specific 'Additional Permitted Uses' on the subject land. The additional uses are considered minor and justified in this case due to the commercial uses already in place on the land and the fact that the proposal will facilitate the continued trading of a viable local business. It is therefore recommended that the Director General's delegate agree the inconsistency.

7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

The planning proposal is consistent with the strategic directions and key policy settings of the Metropolitan Plan as it will sustain employment close to residential growth areas and is located close to public transport and existing services.

SEPP No. 55 - Remediation of Land

SEPP No. 55 states that Council must not consent to the carrying out of any development on land unless it has considered whether the land is contaminated, and it is satisfied that the land is suitable in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for the purpose for which the development is

proposed to be carried out. The site is currently used as an estate agent's office and a plant/machinery repairs/equipment storage facility. Table 1 of the Planning Guidelines for SEPP 55 does not specifically list the abovementioned uses as an activity that may cause contamination, however some oil/chemical soil contamination may have occurred. In light of the intended uses ('garden centre' and 'landscaping material supplies') being not dissimilar to the current uses, it is considered that any potential contamination of the site is of minor significance in this case. Council intends to address any site contamination at the development application stage.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

In general it is considered that the Infrastructure SEPP applies to larger developments with greater infrastructure implications and requirements. However, Section 104 - 'Traffic-generating development', in conjunction with Schedule 3, requires new

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills

development or an enlargement of existing premises for commercial/retail purposes to be referred to the Roads and Traffic Authority (now Transport NSW - Roads and Maritime Services (RMS). Council received advice from the RMS on 18 December 2013 in relation to the proposal, recommending a change in site access arrangements. Council subsequently requested that, as part of any gateway determination, specific consideration be given to the need to resolve access issues to both the satisfaction of Council and the RMS. However, it is considered that the access issue would be more appropriately addressed at the Development Application stage.

SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River

The site is not flood-prone but is located in close proximity to Strangers Creek to the north east and Smalls Creek to the west. Council intends to address matters such as environmentally sensitive areas, water quality, water quantity, scenic quality, agriculture and metropolitan strategy at the development application stage.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council intends to advertise the proposal in local newspapers and on Council's website and display documentation at Council's administration building and Castle Hill and Rouse Hill Libraries. Letters will be issued to adjoining and nearby property owners and stakeholders within the locality. Resident information sessions will be arranged, if required.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes

If Yes, reasons :

The Director General is requested to approve the proposal's inconsistencies with Directions 3.1 and 6.3 as being of minor significance. Direction 5.9 will require further justification from Council prior to the Director General's approval, as conditioned in the Gateway Determination.

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : October 2012

 Comments in
 The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 was notified on the NSW legislation website on 5

 relation to Principal
 October 2012.

 LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The proposal is considered a practical solution to facilitate the relocation of Baulkham
Hills Landscape Supplies/Amber from their current site to the subject site to ensure
business and employment continuity. The current site has been acquired by Transport
NSW for the North West Rail Link project and relocation must occur prior to September
2014. The business has been operating for 35 years and closure would incur a potential

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills

	loss of 37 jobs.				
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	zoning ensures th line with the North Strategy for Sydn West Central/North protected and reta addition, the prop	hat the site m h West Rail L ey 2031 spec th West betw ains the pote posal support in areas clos	a result of a strategic study or nay be used in the longer term ink Corridor Strategy (Kellyvi ifies an additional 142,000 joi een 2011-2031. The proposal antial for future dwellings on r to Objective 24 by maintaining e to existing public transport	a for residential p ille Area). The dr bs and 148,000 o ensures that 37 residentially zono g opportunities f	ourposes, in raft Metropolitan twellings in the jobs are ed land. In for new housing
Environmental social economic impacts :	been identified as significant vegeta landscaping mate dust, odour, setba	containing a ttion. The site erial supplies acks, landsca	leveloped and contains a mix any biodiversity areas, riparia e is not flood-prone. The prop and/or garden centre may re- aping and access. Council ha nclude appropriate controls.	in corridors or a bosed use of the quire controls re	reas of site for elating to noise,
Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire S Sydney Water Telstra Other	ervice			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :	Public Authority o Energy (electricity		56(2)(d) should include Jeme	na (gas) and En	deavour
Resubmission - s56(2)(I	o): No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reason	s :				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required	d :			
No internal consultatio	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ding of state infrastru	ucture relevar	nt to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
cuments					
Gumento					

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills

IRINS AVE, Deaumont This		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 1 of 6.pdf		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 2 of 6.pdf		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 3 of 6.pdf		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 4 of 6.pdf		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 5 of 6.pdf		
The Hills Shire Council_03-02-2014_The Hills Local	Proposal	Yes
Environmental Plan 2012 - 1-3 Wilkins Avenue,		
Beaumont Hills Part 6 of 6.pdf		
RMS Advice - 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
NWRL Kellyville Station Structure Plan.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:
	1. The Director-General (or delegate) agrees that the inconsistency of this proposal with Section 117 Directions 3.1 Residential Zones and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions is justified.
	2. Council is to update the Planning Proposal prior to exhibition to provide further justification of the plan's inconsistency with Section 117 Direction 5.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy with regards to the proposal's intent to permit commercial uses on land designated for low density residential development in the Kellyville Structure Plan.
	3. Community consultation is required for a period of 28 days.
	4. Consultation is undertaken with the following public authorities: a) Endeavour Energy (electricity) b) Jemena (gas) c) NSW Rural Fire Service d) Sydney Water e) Telstra
	5. Delegation is to be given to Council to exercise the Minister's plan-making powers.
	6. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal has merit, ensuring continued employment and business retention close to public transport, while retaining the current R3 zoning for future residential development.

Additional permitted uses at RMB104, 104A and RMB 105 Windsor Rd and part of 1-3 Wilkins Ave, Beaumont Hills			
Signature:	Demyn John		
Printed Name:	PERRYN JOHN Date: 17 FEBRUARY 2014		